

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,322	04/23/2001	Long Y. Chiang	06897-006001	4062	
26161	7590 10/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ·			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/840,322	CHIANG, LONG Y.	Ì		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lakshmi S Channavajjala	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-7-0	<u>)4</u> .				
<del></del> / ,	action is non-final.		•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	.		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.			·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			7		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>			•		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		red in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea		ad			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receiv	· ·			
Attachmont/s)			•		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar		4. C		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	1 dion(7)ppilodion (1 10-102)	•		
S. Patent and Trademark Office		lant of Dames No. (Mail Data 10102004			

Application/Control Number: 09/840,322

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of amendment and response dated 6-7-04 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-21 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of inhibiting the growth of tumor cells in a tumor site by administering sulfobutylated hexadecaniline, does not reasonably provide enablement for all the oligoaniline derivatives that are within the scope of claim 1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

RESPONSE: Applicants argue that instant invention is not complex because instant claims are amended to remove from claim 1 the groups "H and CH2-CO-NH2" assigned to A, and the groups "-SH, -CONH2, and -OCH3" assigned to D, which have improved water solubility and enhanced bioavailability. Applicants also argue that instant compounds encompassed by claim 1 are not overly broad because the moieties are only limited to hydrophilic groups that possess the above characteristics of enhanced solubility and bioavailability; and that all the oligoanilines claimed can be used to inhibit the growth of tumor cells by generating oxygen free radicals. Applicants' arguments have been considered but not found persuasive because in the instant case, even though claimed breadth of compounds are capable to generate free radicals due to oligoaniline moieties, the encompassed compounds are different from each other in their structure that one of an ordinary skill in the art would have to perform undue experimentation to test each of the compounds that fall in this category in their

Application/Control Number: 09/840,322

Art Unit: 1615

ability to bind all kinds of tumor cells, generate free radicals to the extent that all kinds of tumor cells or all types or degrees of tumor cells are effectively inhibited. Further, applicants have not established a rationale to show to one of an ordinary skill in the art that claimed oligoanilines could generate oxygen free radicals specifically in tumor cells and not in the normal cells surrounding the tumor cells. One of an ordinary skill in the art would not readily envision the method of inhibiting tumor cells that are metastatic. With respect to the argument regarding the in vitro and in vivo data, applicants have not shown if murine sarcoma cells are a prototype and representative of all types of tumors known to-date. Reasonable correlation must exist between the scope of the claims and scope of enablement set forth. For the reasons set forth supra it appears that undue experimentation would be required of one skilled in the art to practice the claimed invention using the guidance provided in the instant specification.

Applicant's arguments with respect to rejection of claims 18-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al (Macromolecules 1994, submitted on IDS).

Nguyen et al teach polyaniline polymers that have high electrical conductivity and are highly water-soluble. The monomers that make up oligoaniline polymers are described in col. 2 of page 3625. Particularly, compounds of formula III read on the claimed compounds (sulfobutylated aniline polymers). On page 3626, col. 1, Nguyen teaches preparing the soluble aniline polymers not just in water, but in dispersants, which meet the claimed carrier. Instant claims recite m=2-6. While Nguyen et al does not specifically teach the length of the polymer,

•

Application/Control Number: 09/840,322

Art Unit: 1615

the number of aniline monomer in the teachings of Nguyen range form 1 to 4 9formula in col. 2,

page 3625). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the instant invention to prepare sulfonylated aniline polymers having a desired chain by

routine optimization, without loosing the electrical conductivity and water solubility of the

compounds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-

0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM to 6.30 PM Monday thorough Friday,

except alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lakshmi S Channavajjala

Examiner

Art Unit 1615

October 19, 2004

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/840,322

Art Unit: 1615